

Amortization Policy

San Jose Federated City Employees Retirement
System

September 23, 2021


Bill Hallmark

Jacqui King


Steven Hastings



The City and Retirement Boards have independent responsibilities related to the pension plans. If the City decides to issue a POB and contribute the proceeds to the retirement plan, the Retirement Board would need to decide how to invest the assets and how the additional assets would affect the Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC). For this discussion, we are going to focus on the potential impact to the ADC.



Developing an Amortization Policy






4 of 33

Based on the plan provisions, census data, and actuarial assumptions, we develop a projection of the future benefit payments to be paid to the **current members** of the plan.

Projected Benefit Payments






The future benefit payments are discounted using the expected rate of return. This gives us the amount of assets needed today to make all of the projected benefit payments **IF the assets earn the assumed rate of return and all other assumptions are met.**

5 of 33

Projected Benefit Payments






6 of 33

We remove the interest and add up the discounted projected benefit payments. Higher or lower expected returns do not change the projected benefit payments. The expected return just affects the amount of interest subtracted to get the discounted projected benefit payments.


Projected Benefit Payments





The present value of future benefits is the sum of the discounted projected benefit payments.


7 of 33





8 of 33


To develop a Funding Target, the Present Value of Future Benefits is allocated between past and future service. The portion allocated to past service is referred to as the Actuarial Liability and the remainder is the Present Value of Future Normal Costs.





The Actuarial Liability is the Funding Target - the amount of assets we should have as of the valuation date.

9 of 33





The Funding Target is compared to the Actuarial Value of Assets and the difference is the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL).

10 of 33





11 of 33


The objective is to return the plan assets to the funding target. Immediately returning to the funding target would require unaffordable and extremely volatile contributions from the City, so we establish an amortization policy to balance stability and affordability for the City with reaching the funding target within a reasonably short period of time.





If, for example, the Board wanted to require the same dollar amount of contribution each year to increase assets to the funding target in 20 years, we would set up a 20-year amortization payment schedule for the entire UAL. Note, that the interest payments on the amortization are really the expected investment earnings on the assets the plan didn't have.

Amortization Payments






13 of 33

A 20-year level amortization is simple and straightforward, but isn't how public pensions are normally funded.


Amortization Payments





First, instead of a level amortization, there is normally an increase to each year's payments to reflect inflation or payroll/revenue growth so that each year's contribution is a similar burden on the City. The Federated plan uses a 2.75% rate of growth, between assumed inflation and payroll growth.


Amortization Payments





In addition, the UAL changes each year when actual experience deviates from the assumptions, so the amortization schedule needs to change with the UAL changes.

Amortization Payments






We use amortization layers. Each year as the UAL changes, a new layer is added for the change.

16 of 33

Amortization Payments






If the UAL goes down, instead of an amortization payment, we establish an amortization credit that offsets the other amortization payments.

17 of 33


Amortization Payments






Periodically, the Board changes assumptions, which also changes the UAL, so we set up a new amortization layer.

Amortization Payments



After several years, the plan develops an array of amortization bases. This chart shows the amortization schedule, which started in 2009, based on the Board's policies from the 2020 valuation plus the expected investment gain for 2021. Since we smooth assets over 5 years, only 20% of the gain from 2021 investment experience is recognized. In addition to the amortization payment, contributions also include the normal cost and administrative expenses.

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule






In our projections, we recognize the remainder of the investment gains from 2021 as they are recognized in the actuarial value of assets.

20 of 33

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule






In our projections, we recognize the remainder of the investment gains from 2021 as they are recognized in the actuarial value of assets.

21 of 33

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule






In our projections, we recognize the remainder of the investment gains from 2021 as they are recognized in the actuarial value of assets.

22 of 33

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule






In our projections, we recognize the remainder of the investment gains from 2021 as they are recognized in the actuarial value of assets.

23 of 33

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule






The baseline projected amortization payments are much lower.

24 of 33


Tier 1 Amortization Schedule






By statute, however, contributions cannot be lower than the normal cost, so amortization credits can offset administrative expenses, but can't be used to lower the contribution further. The credit limit is expected to be reached in 2040.

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule








Before the City deposits the proceeds of a POB, the scheduled amortization payments start around \$150 million, decline to \$136 million in 2026, increase to \$155 million in 2036, and decline to the minimum in 2040.

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule

20-Year \$250M POB




➡ If the City deposits \$250 million into the plan, it would reduce the UAL by \$250 million and under our current amortization policies, we would set up a 20-year amortization credit, reducing the 2023 amortization payment by \$18 million (from \$147 million to \$129 million) and each successive payment for 20 years by an amount increasing by 2.75% each year.

28 of 33

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule


20-Year \$250M POB



From the City's perspective, in addition to the contributions to the plan, the City would also need to pay the debt service on the POB. We understand that the City intends to structure the POB with level dollar payments. Because of the likely difference in interest rates, the debt service on the POB would be smaller than the amortization credit in the first year and the difference would grow over time as the amortization credit increases at 2.75% each year while the debt service remains constant.


Tier 1 Amortization Schedule

20-Year \$250M POB



While the City cannot select specific amortization bases to pay off, we would recommend that the Board amortize the POB credits over the same period as the term of the POB. Consequently, we expect the City may elect a 17-year POB to correspond with the period of high contributions before the system is projected to be fully funded.

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule 17-Year \$250M POB






A 17-year POB avoids the potential issue of higher City costs after 2040 if the minimum contribution to the system applies.

Tier 1 Amortization Schedule

17-Year \$250M POB





Retirement Board sets the contribution policy

2021 investment returns have changed projected contributions significantly, but the UAL is still \$1.6 billion based on the market value of assets

A POB would directly reduce the UAL

The Board would need to decide how to establish amortization credits for any POB. We will recommend that the amortization credits match the period of the POB and that the initial credit be sufficient to offset the City's initial debt service



Certification

The purpose of this presentation is to provide the Board of Administration for the San José Federated City Employees' Retirement System background on the system's amortization policies in preparation for a potential Pension Obligation Bond.

In preparing our presentation, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the San José Office of Retirement Services. This information includes, but is not limited to, the Plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23. The data and actuarial assumptions used (unless modified within this communication) are described in our June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation report.

Future projections may differ significantly from the projections presented in this presentation due to such factors as the following: plan experience different from that anticipated by the assumptions; changes in assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

Cheiron utilizes ProVal actuarial valuation software leased from Winklevoss Technologies (WinTech) to calculate liabilities and project benefit payments. We have relied on WinTech as the developer of ProVal. We have a basic understanding of ProVal and have used ProVal in accordance with its original intended purpose. We have not identified any material inconsistencies in assumptions or output of ProVal that would affect this valuation.

Deterministic and stochastic projections in this presentation were developed using R-scan, a proprietary tool used to illustrate the impact of changes in assumptions, methods, plan provisions, or actual experience (particularly investment experience) on the future financial status of the Plan. R-scan uses standard roll-forward techniques that implicitly assume a stable active population. Because R-scan does not automatically capture how changes in one variable affect all other variables, some scenarios may not be consistent.

To the best of our knowledge, this presentation and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this presentation. This presentation does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys, and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

This presentation was prepared for the San José Police and Fire Retirement Board for the purposes described herein. Other users of this presentation are not intended users as defined in the Actuarial Standards of Practice, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to any other user.