





MARCH 16, 2021

Risk Allocation Study

City of San Jose Police and Fire Employees' Retirement System

Summary

- Risk limits were established by the board by analyzing the relationship between:
 - Risk tolerance
 - Volatility and drawdowns
 - Potential impact on financial conditions and plan objectives
- Versus the current strategic asset allocation, the set of asset allocation mixes being considered today:
 - Fall outside the limit for Board risk as defined in IPS for portfolios with higher growth allocations
 - Increases in volatility come from taking more equity risk. Higher growth from assets other than equities increases volatility modestly, but reduces equity beta
 - Duration risk is not significant risk among mixes considered as it is relatively short across all mixes
 - None of the mixes provide very different outcomes in stress scenarios or shocks with one exception



Risk limit framework





Volatility, drawdowns and risk tolerance



Aggressive Conservative Average 3 worst **Portfolio Volatility** 95% VaR 95% CVaR 99% VaR 99% CVaR scenarios -14% 8% Risk -17% -18% -20% -19% Conservative 9% Risk -22% -15% -18% -19% -21% -24% 10% Risk -16% -19% -21% -23% 11% Risk -18% -22% -24% -27% -28% Aggressive 12% Risk -20% -25% -27% -31% -32% 13% **Risk** -22% -28% -30% -34% -36% 14% Risk -24% -29% -31% -36% -39% 15% Risk -25% -31% -33% -38% -40%

Risk Tolerance

The board's risk tolerance determines the appropriate level of risk and how expected drawdowns will be estimated

4

Verus ⁷⁷

Risk Tolerance

Actuarial projections

Potential impact on financial condition/objectives

Based on discussions with Verus and Cheiron the board determined there were three actuarial metrics to include in the formulation of their risk limits: Funded Ratio, City Contributions, and Interest cost. Applying drawdowns in 5% increments ranging from 20% to 40%, we can determine the impact on the three metrics.

					Funded Ratio	City Contr	ibutions
		Funded Ratio	City Contr	ibutions	change	change	
L	Baseline	74%	\$	241	0%	\$	-
eai	-20%	63%	\$	356	-11%	\$	115
×	-25%	60%	\$	376	-14%	\$	136
	-30%	57%	\$	397	-17%	\$	156
in	-35%	53%	\$	417	-21%	\$	176
0	-40%	49%	\$	437	-25%	\$	196

		Funded Ratio	City Contributions	Funded Ratio change	City Contributions change
(ē	Baseline	89%	\$ 2,282	0%	\$ -
r ĭş	-20%	75%	\$ 2,987	-14%	\$ 705
/ea lat	-25%	73%	\$ 3,138	-16%	\$ 856
0-)	-30%	71%	\$ 3,288	-18%	\$ 1,006
1 01	-35%	69%	\$ 3,445	-20%	\$ 1,163
0	-40%	67%	\$ 3,604	-22%	\$ 1,322

The Single Year table identifies the maximum or minimum for each category.

The 10-year Cumulative table identifies the end of period financial situation and total dollar amount for each category

5

Source: Actuarial metrics provided by Cheiron. Dollar amounts in millions



Board risk limits



From IPS, Board risk operating zone limit is when portfolio forecast risk is >12%.

The current policy falls modestly outside of this limit as current volatility is elevated versus one year ago when the policy was adopted.

Data from MSCI BarraOne, 303XL model.



Risk decomposition



Data from MSCI BarraOne, 303XL model.



Equity Beta



Mix A is the highest volatility mix and the increase in risk is mainly through more equity beta.

The current policy and Mix B have similar levels of equity beta.

Source: MSCI BarraOne. Equity beta is measured relative to the MSCI ACWI IMI index.



Duration



The unconstrained mix has a large allocation to long term government bonds which shows the highest effective duration and the lowest credit spread duration as all fixed income exposure is obtained through government bonds.

Mixes A, B, and C do not cause a material change in duration relative to the current P&F Policy.

Source: MSCI BarraOne



Scenario analysis



Data from MSCI BarraOne.



Stress tests



Data from MSCI BarraOne.



COVID 19 Shock



COVID 19 shock represents the peak to trough return from 2/19/2020 to 3/23/2020.



Appendix – Asset mixes

<u>Verus</u> 2021 CMA's (10 Yr)

	Police & Fire									Standard	Sharpe
	Policy	60/40	Unconstrained	Mix A	Mix B	Mix C	Mix D	Return (g)	Return (a)	Deviation	Ratio (a)
Growt	h 70	60	72	75	69	67	70				
Public Equity	46.0	60.0	0.0	49.0	46.0	44.0	42.0	5.2	6.6	17.3	0.37
Private Equity	10.0	0.0	14.1	12.0	10.0	9.0	12.0	9.3	12.1	28.1	0.46
Private Debt	3.0	0.0	6.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.6	5.2	11.2	0.45
Growth Real Estate	3.0	0.0	12.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	7.8	9.1	17.1	0.52
Private Real Assets	3.0	0.0	38.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	7.8	9.4	18.8	0.49
Emerging Market Bonds (Hard)	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.5	5.2	6.0	12.7	0.45
Emerging Market Bonds (Local)	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.5	4.3	5.0	12.2	0.39
High Yield Bonds	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.4	4.0	11.3	0.34
Low Bet	a 8	0	0	8	8	8	8				
Market Neutral Strategies	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.8	4.1	7.8	0.49
Immunized Cash Flows	5.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.2	0.2	1.2	-
Othe	er 22	40	28	17	23	25	22				
US TIPS	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.1	1.2	5.3	0.18
Core Real Estate	5.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.8	6.5	12.6	0.50
Core Bonds	12.0	40.0	0.0	6.0	11.0	14.0	12.0	1.1	1.3	6.1	0.17
Commodities	0.0	0.0	7.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.2	3.4	15.9	0.20
Long-Term Government Bonds	3.0	0.0	21.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.7	0.9	6.7	0.10
Total Allocation	100	100	100	100	100	100	100				

	Police & Fire						
	Policy	60/40	Unconstrained	Mix A	Mix B	Mix C	Mix D
Mean Variance Analysis							
Forecast 10 Year Return	5.4	4.1	6.9	5.7	5.4	5.2	5.6
Standard Deviation	12.1	10.4	12.2	13.1	12.0	11.3	11.8
Return/Std. Deviation	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5
1st percentile ret. 1 year	-19.0	-17.5	-17.9	-20.5	-18.9	-17.8	-18.5
Sharpe Ratio	0.48	0.41	0.59	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.49

Source: Verus and MPI

Appendix - Downside measures

We have discussed three methods of determining downside risk (or tail risk) for the investment portfolio.

<u>Value at risk (VaR)</u>: VaR calculates the maximum loss expected over a 1-year period given a specified degree of confidence

<u>Conditional Value at Risk (CVaR)</u>: CVaR measures the expected loss if VaR is exceeded. It takes the average of the tail observations

Average of three worst historical scenarios: We simulate the portfolio through historic scenarios to determine the three worst periods and take the average of those scenarios.

Risk Metric	Description
	(95% Confidence) We don't expect the worst annual loss
95% Van	to exceed
	(99% Confidence) we don't expect the worst annual loss
99% Van	to exceed
	(95% Confidence) If VaR is exceeded, the average
	expected loss
	(99% Confidence) If VaR is exceeded, the average
	expected loss
Avg. Scopario Drawdown	The average of the three worst historic scenarios
	measured in BarraOne

There are three methods to calculate VaR: Historic, Parametric, and Monte Carlo. VaR calculations are conducted in BarraOne using Monte Carlo VaR.



Appendix: Actuarial Tables

					5	an Jose P	&F - Baseline	Projection	S					
	Actuarial	Ass	sets	Funde	d Ratio		Investment	Contributio	n Amounts	Contribut	ion Rates	NC +	Interest	
June 30th	Liability	Market	Actuarial	Market	Actuarial	FYE	Return	Member	City	Member	City	Admin	Cost	ICaR
2020	5,235.3	3,702.0	3,851.9	70.7%	73.6%	2021	6.625%	29.4	206.5	12.3%	84.6%	39.5%	40.9%	25.1%
2021	5,417.3	3,927.8	4,046.2	72.5%	74.7%	2022	6.625%	31.2	216.9	12.6%	87.4%	38.8%	38.6%	25.8%
2022	5,599.4	4,168.0	4,238.8	74.4%	75.7%	2023	6.625%	32.6	223.5	12.8%	87.5%	37.9%	36.0%	26.6%
2023	5,777.6	4,415.8	4,438.5	76.4%	76.8%	2024	6.625%	34.2	224.8	13.0%	85.4%	37.2%	33.2%	27.3%
2024	5,951.6	4,665.9	4,665.9	78.4%	78.4%	2025	6.625%	35.8	228.1	13.2%	84.1%	36.6%	30.4%	28.1%
2025	6,122.0	4,921.2	4,921.2	80.4%	80.4%	2026	6.625%	37.4	233.9	13.4%	83.8%	36.0%	27.6%	28.7%
2026	6,289.0	5,185.1	5,185.1	82.4%	82.4%	2027	6.625%	39.1	237.6	13.6%	82.6%	35.5%	24.6%	29.4%
2027	6,451.4	5,454.6	5,454.6	84.5%	84.5%	2028	6.625%	40.7	239.4	13.8%	80.8%	35.0%	21.6%	30.0%
2028	6,609.9	5,729.3	5,729.3	86.7%	86.7%	2029	6.625%	42.4	240.6	13.9%	78.9%	34.6%	18.5%	30.6%
2029	6,766.2	6,010.6	6,010.6	88.8%	88.8%	2030	6.625%	44.0	230.8	14.0%	73.5%	34.2%	15.4%	31.2%

					Sa	in Jose P&	&F - Minus 20	% Projectio	ns					
	Actuarial	Ass	sets	Funde	d Ratio		Investment	Contributio	n Amounts	Contribut	ion Rates	NC +	Interest	
June 30th	Liability	Market	Actuarial	Market	Actuarial	FYE	Return	Member	City	Member	City	Admin	Cost	ICaR
2020	5,235.3	3,702.0	3,851.9	70.7%	73.6%	2021	-20.000%	29.4	206.5	12.3%	84.6%	39.5%	40.9%	25.1%
2021	5,417.3	2,944.7	3,533.7	54.4%	65.2%	2022	6.625%	31.2	216.9	12.6%	87.4%	38.8%	64.0%	19.3%
2022	5,599.4	3,119.8	3,736.8	55.7%	66.7%	2023	6.625%	32.9	272.8	12.9%	106.8%	37.9%	62.3%	19.9%
2023	5,777.6	3,349.4	3,765.3	58.0%	65.2%	2024	6.625%	34.6	271.0	13.1%	103.0%	37.2%	59.2%	20.7%
2024	5,951.6	3,576.9	3,773.5	60.1%	63.4%	2025	6.625%	36.4	293.4	13.4%	108.2%	36.6%	56.2%	21.5%
2025	6,122.0	3,828.1	3,828.1	62.5%	62.5%	2026	6.625%	38.2	322.1	13.7%	115.4%	36.0%	52.7%	22.3%
2026	6,289.0	4,111.3	4,111.3	65.4%	65.4%	2027	6.625%	40.0	347.9	13.9%	121.0%	35.5%	48.6%	23.3%
2027	6,451.4	4,424.6	4,424.6	68.6%	68.6%	2028	6.625%	41.7	352.2	14.1%	118.9%	35.0%	43.9%	24.3%
2028	6,609.9	4,748.5	4,748.5	71.8%	71.8%	2029	6.625%	43.4	355.9	14.2%	116.7%	34.6%	39.1%	25.4%
2029	6,766.2	5,084.9	5,084.9	75.2%	75.2%	2030	6.625%	45.0	348.8	14.3%	111.0%	34.2%	34.3%	26.4%



Appendix: Actuarial Tables

					Sa	n Jose P8	kF - Minus 25	% Projectio	ns					
	Actuarial	Ass	sets	Funde	d Ratio		Investment	Contributio	n Amounts	Contribut	ion Rates	NC +	Interest	
June 30th	Liability	Market	Actuarial	Market	Actuarial	FYE	Return	Member	City	Member	City	Admin	Cost	ICaR
2020	5,235.3	3,702.0	3,851.9	70.7%	73.6%	2021	-25.000%	29.4	206.5	12.3%	84.6%	39.5%	40.9%	25.1%
2021	5,417.3	2,760.2	3,312.2	51.0%	61.1%	2022	6.625%	31.2	216.9	12.6%	87.4%	38.8%	68.8%	18.1%
2022	5,599.4	2,923.0	3,503.1	52.2%	62.6%	2023	6.625%	32.9	294.2	12.9%	115.2%	37.9%	67.2%	18.6%
2023	5,777.6	3,161.7	3,651.4	54.7%	63.2%	2024	6.625%	34.6	292.6	13.2%	111.2%	37.2%	63.8%	19.6%
2024	5,951.6	3,399.2	3,632.7	57.1%	61.0%	2025	6.625%	36.5	304.5	13.5%	112.4%	36.6%	60.4%	20.4%
2025	6,122.0	3,650.2	3,650.2	59.6%	59.6%	2026	6.625%	38.3	337.5	13.7%	120.9%	36.0%	56.8%	21.3%
2026	6,289.0	3,937.7	3,937.7	62.6%	62.6%	2027	6.625%	40.2	367.4	14.0%	127.8%	35.5%	52.5%	22.3%
2027	6,451.4	4,259.8	4,259.8	66.0%	66.0%	2028	6.625%	41.9	372.1	14.1%	125.7%	35.0%	47.5%	23.4%
2028	6,609.9	4,593.7	4,593.7	69.5%	69.5%	2029	6.625%	43.6	376.3	14.3%	123.4%	34.6%	42.4%	24.5%
2029	6,766.2	4,941.1	4,941.1	73.0%	73.0%	2030	6.625%	45.2	369.6	14.4%	117.7%	34.2%	37.3%	25.6%

					Sa	n Jose P&	&F - Minus 30	% Projectio	ns					
	Actuarial	Ass	ets	Funde	d Ratio		Investment	Contributio	n Amounts	Contribut	tion Rates	NC +	Interest	
June 30th	Liability	Market	Actuarial	Market	Actuarial	FYE	Return	Member	City	Member	City	Admin	Cost	ICaR
2020	5,235.3	3,702.0	3,851.9	70.7%	73.6%	2021	-30.000%	29.4	206.5	12.3%	84.6%	39.5%	40.9%	25.1%
2021	5,417.3	2,575.6	3,090.7	47.5%	57.1%	2022	6.625%	31.2	216.9	12.6%	87.4%	38.8%	73.6%	16.9%
2022	5,599.4	2,726.3	3,269.3	48.7%	58.4%	2023	6.625%	32.9	315.7	12.9%	123.6%	37.9%	72.2%	17.4%
2023	5,777.6	2,974.0	3,537.6	51.5%	61.2%	2024	6.625%	34.7	314.3	13.2%	119.4%	37.2%	68.4%	18.4%
2024	5,951.6	3,221.6	3,492.0	54.1%	58.7%	2025	6.625%	36.6	315.6	13.5%	116.5%	36.6%	64.6%	19.4%
2025	6,122.0	3,472.4	3,472.4	56.7%	56.7%	2026	6.625%	38.5	352.9	13.8%	126.4%	36.0%	60.9%	20.3%
2026	6,289.0	3,764.1	3,764.1	59.9%	59.9%	2027	6.625%	40.4	386.9	14.0%	134.6%	35.5%	56.3%	21.3%
2027	6,451.4	4,095.1	4,095.1	63.5%	63.5%	2028	6.625%	42.1	392.1	14.2%	132.4%	35.0%	51.0%	22.5%
2028	6,609.9	4,438.8	4,438.8	67.2%	67.2%	2029	6.625%	43.8	396.7	14.3%	130.1%	34.6%	45.7%	23.7%
2029	6,766.2	4,797.3	4,797.3	70.9%	70.9%	2030	6.625%	45.4	390.5	14.5%	124.3%	34.2%	40.2%	24.9%



Appendix: Actuarial Tables

					Sa	in Jose P8	kF - Minus 35	% Projectio	ns					
	Actuarial	Ass	ets	Funde	d Ratio		Investment	Contributio	n Amounts	Contribut	ion Rates	NC +	Interest	
June 30th	Liability	Market	Actuarial	Market	Actuarial	FYE	Return	Member	City	Member	City	Admin	Cost	ICaR
2020	5,235.3	3,702.0	3,851.9	70.7%	73.6%	2021	-35.000%	29.4	206.5	12.3%	84.6%	39.5%	40.9%	25.1%
2021	5,417.3	2,391.1	2,869.3	44.1%	53.0%	2022	6.625%	31.2	216.9	12.6%	87.4%	38.8%	78.3%	15.7%
2022	5,599.4	2,529.5	3,035.3	45.2%	54.2%	2023	6.625%	32.9	337.1	12.9%	132.0%	37.9%	77.1%	16.1%
2023	5,777.6	2,786.4	3,331.1	48.2%	57.7%	2024	6.625%	34.8	335.9	13.2%	127.7%	37.2%	73.0%	17.3%
2024	5,951.6	3,043.9	3,351.3	51.1%	56.3%	2025	6.625%	36.7	335.7	13.5%	123.9%	36.6%	68.8%	18.3%
2025	6,122.0	3,303.8	3,303.8	54.0%	54.0%	2026	6.625%	38.6	367.9	13.8%	131.8%	36.0%	64.8%	19.3%
2026	6,289.0	3,600.0	3,600.0	57.2%	57.2%	2027	6.625%	40.5	406.1	14.1%	141.2%	35.5%	60.0%	20.4%
2027	6,451.4	3,940.1	3,940.1	61.1%	61.1%	2028	6.625%	42.2	411.7	14.3%	139.0%	35.0%	54.4%	21.7%
2028	6,609.9	4,293.9	4,293.9	65.0%	65.0%	2029	6.625%	43.9	416.7	14.4%	136.6%	34.6%	48.7%	22.9%
2029	6,766.2	4,663.6	4,663.6	68.9%	68.9%	2030	6.625%	45.6	411.0	14.5%	130.8%	34.2%	42.9%	24.2%

					Sa	an Jose P&	&F - Minus 40	% Projectio	ns					
	Actuarial	Ass	sets	Funde	d Ratio		Investment	Contributio	n Amounts	Contribut	ion Rates	NC +	Interest	
June 30th	Liability	Market	Actuarial	Market	Actuarial	FYE	Return	Member	City	Member	City	Admin	Cost	ICaR
2020	5,235.3	3,702.0	3,851.9	70.7%	73.6%	2021	-40.000%	29.4	206.5	12.3%	84.6%	39.5%	40.9%	25.1%
2021	5,417.3	2,206.6	2,647.9	40.7%	48.9%	2022	6.625%	31.2	216.9	12.6%	87.4%	38.8%	83.1%	14.5%
2022	5,599.4	2,332.8	2,799.3	41.7%	50.0%	2023	6.625%	32.9	358.5	12.9%	140.3%	37.9%	82.1%	14.9%
2023	5,777.6	2,598.7	3,107.7	45.0%	53.8%	2024	6.625%	34.9	357.7	13.3%	135.9%	37.2%	77.5%	16.1%
2024	5,951.6	2,866.5	3,210.8	48.2%	53.9%	2025	6.625%	36.8	357.5	13.6%	131.9%	36.6%	73.0%	17.2%
2025	6,122.0	3,137.3	3,137.3	51.2%	51.2%	2026	6.625%	38.7	382.8	13.9%	137.1%	36.0%	68.6%	18.3%
2026	6,289.0	3,438.0	3,438.0	54.7%	54.7%	2027	6.625%	40.7	425.1	14.2%	147.8%	35.5%	63.6%	19.5%
2027	6,451.4	3,787.1	3,787.1	58.7%	58.7%	2028	6.625%	42.4	431.1	14.3%	145.6%	35.0%	57.7%	20.8%
2028	6,609.9	4,151.1	4,151.1	62.8%	62.8%	2029	6.625%	44.1	436.6	14.5%	143.1%	34.6%	51.7%	22.2%
2029	6,766.2	4,532.1	4,532.1	67.0%	67.0%	2030	6.625%	45.8	431.3	14.6%	137.3%	34.2%	45.6%	23.5%

