PROPOSED PROCESS FOR RESOLVING BOARD DIFFERENCES OVER JOINT AUTHORITY ACTIONS

ACTION	SITUATION	ACTION PLAN	REQUIRE FURTHER BOARD ACTION?
4 050 010 11101110	Donald discourse	E 18 1 :	
1. CEO, CIO HIRING, DISCIPLINING, TERMINATING	Boards disagree on candidate or action to take	Each Board votes again by roll call and reports to JPC If action was approved by 10 of total 16 Board members, the action is confirmed If action is not approved by above super-majority, JPC votes:	If JPC recommends final action, Boards vote to ratify. If JPC unresolved, then Boards continue to consider and vote, and follow this process until resolution
2. CEO, CIO PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS	Boards disagree on ranking category, but are within one category of each other	Same as above	Boards continue to deliberate and vote for one additional round based on JPC recommendation If Boards continue to disagree, then Boards' respective performance evaluations stand
	Boards disagree on ranking category and are not within one category of each other	JPC considers matter and makes recommendation to Boards to resolve	Boards continue to deliberate and vote for one additional round based on JPC recommendation If Boards continue to disagree, then Boards' respective performance evaluations stand

ACTION	SITUATION	ACTION PLAN	REQUIRE FURTHER BOARD ACTION?
1. CEO, CIO HIRING, DISCIPLINING, TERMINATING	Boards disagree on candidate or action to take	Each Board votes again by roll call and reports to JPC If action was approved by 10 of total 16 Board members, the action is confirmed If action is not approved by above super-majority, JPC votes:	If JPC recommends final action, Boards vote to ratify. If JPC unresolved, then Boards continue to consider and vote, and follow this process until resolution
2. CEO, CIO PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS	Boards disagree on ranking category, but are within one category of each other	Same as above	Boards continue to deliberate and vote for one additional round based on JPC recommendation If Boards continue to disagree, then Boards' respective performance evaluations stand
	Boards disagree on ranking category and are not within one category of each other	JPC considers matter and makes recommendation to Boards to resolve	Boards continue to deliberate and vote for one additional round based on JPC recommendation If Boards continue to disagree, then Boards' respective performance evaluations stand

3. CEO, CIO COMPENSATION RECOMMENDATION TO CITY COUNCIL	The Boards disagree on compensation recommendation, but are within one performance evaluation ranking of each other	The average of the two recommendations becomes the final recommendation to send to City Council	None
	Boards disagree on compensation and are not within one performance evaluation ranking of one another	Each Board votes again by roll call and reports to JPC If action was approved by 10 of total 16 Board members, the action is confirmed If action is not approved by above super-majority, JPC votes: If JPC votes in favor of an action (with 2 votes in favor from each Board per the JPC Charter), it recommends final ratification to the Boards If JPC does not reach of action, JPC reports stalemate to Boards to take further action	If JPC recommends final action, Boards vote to ratify. If JPC unresolved, then Boards continue to consider and vote, and follow this process until reach a resolution
4. OTHER BOARD DISAGREEMENTS ON PERSONNEL ISSUES	Boards disagree	JPC considers matter and makes recommendation to Boards to resolve	Boards continue to deliberate and vote, and send to JPC, until resolution
5. OTHER BOARD DISAGREEMENTS ON NON- PERSONNEL ISSUES	Boards disagree	Boards each appoint equal number of member(s) to ad hoc committee to propose resolution	Board considers the ad hoc committee's recommendation and votes again. If Boards continue to disagree, then Boards continue to consider and vote, and follow this process until resolution

The above action plans are to be incorporated into the JPC Charter by amendment.